Cla—Snla—C7a	115.2 (2)	C1b—Sn1b—C13b	120.4 (1)
Cla—Snla—Cl3a	132.3 (2)	C1 <i>b</i> —Sn1 <i>b</i> —C7 <i>b</i>	117.1(1)
Cla—Snla—Ola	94.6(2)	C1b—Sn1b—O1b	101.2(1)
Cla—Snla—O3a	84.8(2)	C1b—Sn1b—O2a	81.7(1)
C7a—Sn1a—C13a	111.7(1)	C7b—Sn1b—C13b	119.8 (1)
C7a—Sn1a—O1a	89.3(1)	C7b—Sn1b—O1b	88.4 (1)
C7a—Sn1a—O3a	89.9(1)	C7b—Sn1b—O2a	87.6 (1)
C13a—Sn1a—O1a	93.9(1)	C13b—Sn1b—O1b	96.5 (1)
C13a—Sn1a—O3a	87.4(1)	C13b—Sn1b—O2a	84.5 (1)
Ola—Snla—O3a	178.7(1)	O1b—Sn1b—O2a	175.8 (1)

The final difference map in (I) had a peak of about  $1 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ near the Sn atom. In (II), phenyl rings were refined as rigid hexagons. The dimethyl sulfoxide coordinated to the Sn2a atom is disordered with respect to the ethanol, and each molecule was refined with 0.5 occupancy. The C-C distance in the ethanol molecule was fixed at  $1.54 \pm 0.01$  Å. The final difference map also had peaks of about 1 e  $Å^{-3}$  near the Sn atoms. In (III), the three dimethylamino groups are disordered over two positions and were refined with restraints (P-N =  $1.65 \pm 0.01$ , N—C =  $1.45 \pm 0.01$  and C···C = 2.37 Å); EADP (SHELXL93; Sheldrick, 1993) restraints were imposed on these atoms. All phenyl rings were refined as rigid hexagons. One of the phenyl rings bonded to the Snla atom is also disordered over two positions; an EADP restraint was also applied to that ring having 0.333 occupancy. In (II) and (III), disorder affected the refinement of the phenyl rings, some of which had C-C distances that were either too long or too short when the phenyl rings were not restrained as planar hexagons.

For all compounds, data collection: CAD-4 VAX/PC (Enraf-Nonius, 1988); cell refinement: CAD-4 VAX/PC; data reduction: Xtal3.0 (Hall & Stewart, 1990); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

I thank the National Science Council for R & D (IRPA 09-02-03-0371) for supporting this work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SX1059). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Enraf-Nonius (1988). CAD-4 VAX/PC Fortran System. Operator's Guide to the Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Diffractometer Hardware, its Software and the Operating System. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Hall, S. R. & Stewart, J. M. (1990). Editors. Xtal3.0 Reference Manual. Universities of Western Australia, Australia, and Maryland, USA.
- Holeček, J., Handlir, K., Nadvornik, M. & Lyčka, A. (1983). J. Organomet. Chem. 258, 147–153.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Ng, S. W. (1995). Main Group Met. Chem. 18, 603-610.
- Ng, S. W., Chen, W. & Kumar Das, V. G. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 345, 59-64.
- Ng, S. W. & Kumar Das, V. G. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 754-756.
- Ng, S. W., Kumar Das, V. G., Wang, R.-J. & Mak, T. C. W. (1994). Malays. J. Sci. 15B, 7-11.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.

© 1998 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Samuel-Lewis, A., Smith, P. J., Aupers, J. H., Hampson, D. & Povey, D. C. (1992). J. Organomet. Chem. 437, 131–144.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tiekink, E. R. T. (1991). Appl. Organomet. Chem. 5, 1-21.
- Tiekink, E. R. T. (1994). Trends Organomet. Chem. 1. 71-116.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 750-752

 $2[(C_6H_{11})_2NH_2]^+.[Sn(O_2CCH_2CH_2CO_2)-(C_4H_9)_3]^-.1/2(O_2CCH_2CH_2CO_2)^2-.H_2O,$ an Organostannate Consisting of Linear Polyanionic Tributyl(succinato)stannate Chains Hydrogen Bonded into Layers by a [Tetrakis(dicyclohexylammonium) Succinate Dihydrate] Dicationic Network

SEIK WENG NG" AND JAROSLAV HOLEČEK<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, and <sup>b</sup>Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Pardubice, 53210 Pardubice, Czech Republic. E-mail: h1nswen@umcsd.um.edu.my

(Received 4 July 1997; accepted 8 December 1997)

## Abstract

In bis(dicyclohexylammonium) *catena* - poly[tributylstannate -  $\mu$  - succinato] hemi(succinate) monohydrate,  $(C_{12}H_{24}N)_2[Sn(C_4H_4O_4)(C_4H_9)_3](C_4H_4O_4)_{1/2}.H_2O$ , the polyanionic tributyl(succinato)stannate chains are hydrogen bonded into layers by a [tetrakis(dicyclohexylammonium) succinate dihydrate] dicationic network. The linear polyanionic chain consists of planar tributyltin groups that are axially linked by the succinato groups.

### Comment

The dicyclohexylammonium hydrogen carboxylates,  $[(C_6H_{11})_2NH_2]^*$ . $[HO_2C(CH_2)_nCO_2]^-$ , when condensed with half a molar equivalent of bis(tributyltin) oxide in ethanol, yield bis(dicyclohexylammonium) tris(oxalato)-tetrakis(tributylstannate).2ethanol for the n = 0 (oxalato) homolog (Ng *et al.*, 1990) and bis(dicyclohexylammonium) tris(malonato)tetrakis(tributylstannate) for the n = 1 (malonato) derivative (Ng *et al.*, 1992). The condensation of dicyclohexylammonium hydrogen succinate (n = 2) with bis(tributyltin) does not afford the simple ammonium stannate, but instead gives  $[(C_6H_{11})_2NH_2]^*$ . $[Sn(C_4H_9)_3(O_2CCH_2CH_2CO_2)]^-$  as a 2:1 complex with  $2[(C_6H_{11})_2NH_2]^*$ . $[O_2CCH_2CH_2CO_2]^{2-}.2H_2O$ , (I).



The tributyl(succinato)stannate anion exists as a linear *catena*-poly[tributylstannate- $\mu$ -succinato] polyanion and its Sn atom shows *trans*-C<sub>3</sub>SnO<sub>2</sub> trigonal bipyramidal coordination [ $\Sigma_{C-Sn-C}$  359.9 (9) and O—Sn—O 174.5 (2)°]. One of the C—Sn—C angles [134.4 (3)°] has been opened up in order to accommodate the two double-bonded carbonyl O atoms. The Sn—O bond distances [2.279 (5) and 2.230 (5) Å] are longer than that [2.214 (2) Å] found in the analogous aromatic triphenyl-(succinato)stannate chain (Ng *et al.*, 1991); its Sn atom lies on a crystallographic twofold axis, so that the C<sub>3</sub>Sn girdle is perfectly flat.

The negative charge of the tributyl(succinato)stannate anion is balanced by the positive charge of half the [tetrakis(dicyclohexylammonium) succinate dihydrate]



Fig. 1. *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) plot of the polyanionic tributyl-(succinato)stannate chain of (I) at the 75% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.

dicationic network. This dicationic entity comprises a centrosymmetric succinato dianion that uses its four carboxyl O-atom ends to interact with four dicyclo-hexylammonium groups  $[N \cdots O \ 2.709 (7), \ 2.743 (7), \ 2.749 (7)$  and 2.771 (9)Å] and two water molecules  $[O \cdots O \ 2.733 (8)$  and 2.775 (8)Å]. The dicationic entities are hydrogen bonded to the polyanionic chains  $[O2 \cdots O7 \ 2.733 (8)$  and  $O4 \cdots N1 \ 2.743 (7)$ Å] to give a sheet structure.

The solid-state <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR chemical shift of -42.3 p.p.m. is similar to those found for fivecoordinate tributyltin systems (Nadvornik *et al.*, 1984). In solution, the chemical shift of 103.1 p.p.m. indicates tetrahedral coordination; this geometry is also supported by the magnitude of the one-bond <sup>119</sup>Sn-<sup>13</sup>C coupling constant of 367.7 Hz. The value is similar to that (365.8 Hz) measured for bis(tributyltin) oxide in chloroform (Nadvornik *et al.*, 1984).



Fig. 2. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of the [tetrakis(dicyclohexylammonium) succinate dihydrate] dicationic network at the 75% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.

## Experimental

Dicyclohexylamine and succinic acid (1:1 molar ratio) were dissolved in a small volume of ethanol, and to the solution was added a 0.5 molar equivalent of bis(tributyltin) oxide. The mixture was heated briefly and slow cooling of the filtered solution yielded the ammonium stannate, which was recrystallized from ethanol. Analysis found C 59.70, H 10.00, N 3.26%; C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>83</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Sn requires C 59.57, H 9.88, N 3.31%. <sup>119</sup>Sn Mössbauer (77 K): isomer shift 1.34, quadrupole splitting 3.26,  $\tau_1$  0.86,  $\tau_2$  0.86 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. IR (Nujol): 3300 (water), 1661, 1552 cm<sup>-1</sup> (carboxyl). <sup>13</sup>C NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> [chemical shift in p.p.m., <sup>n</sup>J(<sup>119</sup>Sn–<sup>13</sup>C) coupling constant in Hz]: C<sub>1</sub> = 16.18 (367.7), C<sub>2</sub> = 27.58 (19.9), C<sub>3</sub> = 26.79 (65.9), C<sub>4</sub> = 13.41 (-); -CO<sub>2</sub> = 178.36, -CH<sub>2</sub> (succinate) = 33.20; cyclohexyl C<sub>1</sub> = 52.43, C<sub>2</sub> = 29.61, C<sub>3</sub> = 24.66, C<sub>4</sub> =

25.06. <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> = 103.1 p.p.m. (relative to tetramethyltin). The solid-state <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum was recorded on a Brüker MSL 200 spectrometer equipped with a doublebearing CP/MAS probe: <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR = -42.3 p.p.m. (relative to tetracyclohexyltin,  $\delta$  = 176.0 p.p.m.). The solid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum was also recorded, but some of the butyl and cyclohexyl signals could not be assigned because of extensive overlap. The spectrum showed three carbonyl (177.11, 177.49 and 179.28 p.p.m.) and three methylene (37.11, 35.32 and 33.58 p.p.m.) signals. The carbon signals were referenced to the carbonyl C atom of glycine ( $\delta$  = 176.0 p.p.m.). Positive chemical shifts denote downfield shifts with respect to the standard.

### Crystal data

 $(C_{12}H_{24}N)_2[Sn(C_4H_4O_4)-$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $(C_4H_9)_3](C_4H_4O_4)_{1/2}H_2O_1$  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$  $M_r = 846.79$ Cell parameters from 32 Triclinic reflections  $P\overline{1}$  $\theta = 2.24 - 12.46^{\circ}$ a = 13.068(3) Å  $\mu = 0.596 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 13.715(3) Å T = 158 (2) Kc = 14.312(3) Å Rhombic block  $0.50 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$  mm  $\alpha = 84.48(2)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 65.25(2)^{\circ}$ Colorless  $\gamma = 86.76 (2)^{\circ}$  $V = 2318.4 (9) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.213 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  not measured Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer  $R_{\rm int} = 0.055$  $\omega$  scan  $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.99^{\circ}$ Absorption correction:  $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$  $\psi$  scan (North *et al.*,  $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 1968)  $l = 0 \rightarrow 15$  $T_{\min} = 0.843, T_{\max} = 0.888$ 3 standard reflections 8129 measured reflections every 97 reflections 8081 independent reflections intensity decay: 6.84% 5229 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

# Refinement

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0506P)^2]$ Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.069$ wR(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.160 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.665 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.032 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.555 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 8080 reflections Extinction correction: none 477 parameters Scattering factors from H atoms riding, U(H) = $1.5U_{eq}(C, N)$ ; water H International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C) atoms located and refined

# Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sn1	2 1 23 (7)	N106	2 740 (7)
Sn1	2.125 (7)	N2+++05	2.749(7)
Sn1—C9	2.132(7)	N2···O7'	2.771(9)
Sn1—O1	2.230 (5)	0207	2.733 (8)
Sn1—O3	2.279 (5)	O6· · · O7	2.775 (8)
N1· · · O4	2.743 (7)		
C1—Sn1—C5	112.4 (3)	C5-Sn1-O1	88.0 (2)
C1—Sn1—C9	134.4 (3)	C5-Sn1-03	87.6 (2)

© 1998 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

CI—Sn1—O1	93.3 (2)	C9-Sn1-01	90.2 (2)			
C1—Sn1—O3	91.4 (2)	C9-Sn1-O3	88.6 (2)			
C5Sn1C9	113.1 (3)	O1-Sn1-O3	174.5 (2)			
Symmetry code: (i) $-1 - x$ , $1 - y$ , $1 - z$ .						

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1991). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

We thank Professor Ward T. Robinson of the University of Canterbury for the diffraction measurements, and the National Science Council for R&D (IRPA 09-02-03-0371) for supporting this work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SX1058). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Nadvornik, M., Holeček, J., Handlir, K. & Lyčka. A. (1984). J. Organomet. Chem. 275, 43-51.
- Ng, S. W., Kumar Das, V. G., Hossain, M. B., Goerlitz, F. & van der Helm, D. (1990). J. Organomet. Chem. 390, 19–28.
- Ng, S. W., Kumar Das, V. G., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1992). J. Organomet. Chem. 430, 139–148.
- Ng, S. W., Kumar Das, V. G., Xiao, G., van der Helm, D., Holeček, J. & Lyčka, A. (1991). *Heteroatom Chem.* 4, 495–498.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1991). XSCANS Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 752-755

# Octabutyl- $1\kappa^2 C$ , $2\kappa^2 C$ , $3\kappa^2 C$ , $4\kappa^2 C$ -bis- $\mu_3$ -oxo-1:2: $3\kappa^3 O$ ;1:3: $4\kappa^3 O$ -tetrakis-[ $\mu$ -(2-pyridinecarboxylato *N*-oxide)]- $1\kappa^2 O$ ,O': $2\kappa O$ ; $2\kappa O$ : $3\kappa^2 O$ ,O'; $3\kappa^2 O$ ,O': $4\kappa O$ ;- $1\kappa^2 O$ ,O': $4\kappa O$ -tetratin Hydrate

SEIK WENG NG

Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: h1nswen@umcsd.um.edu.my

(Received 8 July 1997; accepted 8 December 1997)

#### Abstract

The unit cell of the title compound, bis[1,1,3,3-tetrabutyl-1,3-bis(picolinato *N*-oxide)distannoxane] hy-